

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER THEREON

CASE NO.: 12-cv-03057-L-WVG

CASE TITLE: Montgomery v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. et al

E-FILED DATE: 2/13/2013

DOCKET NO.: 7; 8; 9

DOCUMENT TITLE: Summons Returned Executed

DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Montgomery

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:


X	Civil Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision ("ECF")	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge's signature
	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document(s) filed
	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments
	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking signature certification on Joint Motion
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1	Missing Case Number
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 or Crim. L. Rule 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 or Crim. L. Rule 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
X	OTHER:	Incorrect Filer Selected
	ECF § 2(k)	Exhibits not properly Filed as attachment to the document
X	OTHER:	Served Party (Defendant to the action) not noted in document

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

	The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.
X	The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk STRIKE the document from the record, and serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.

DATED: February 13, 2013


 M. James Lorenz
 United States District Court Judge